***LNP – WG Sub-Committee***

***DEFINITION OF A SIMPLE PORT***

***July 10, 2009***

***Chair – Nancy Sanders***

| **DEFINING A SIMPLE PORT SUB-COMMITTEE** |
| --- |
| **COMMITTEE MEMBER** | **COMPANY** | **ATTENDANCE** |
| Anna Miller | T-Mobile | X |
| Anthony Hansel | Covad |  |
| Beth O'Donnell | Cox Communication | X |
| Bonnie Johnson | Integra Telecom | X |
| Brad Lerner | Cavalier Telecom | X |
| Carolyn Brown | Qwest | X |
| Cindy Sheehan | Comcast | X |
| Crystal Hanus | GVNW | X |
| Cynthia Williamson | Cox Communication | X |
| Dawn Howard | Cox Communication |  |
| Dawn Lawrence | XO | X |
| Deb Tucker | VZ Wireless | X |
| Dennis Rose | TSTCI | X |
| Gary Sacra | Verizon | X |
| Jan Doell | Qwest | X |
| Jim Rooks | NeuStar |  |
| John Nakamura | NeuStar | X |
| Lavinia Rotaru | Sprint | X |
| Linda Birchem | FairPoint |  |
| Linda Peterman | One Communication | X |
| Lonnie Keck | ATT Wireless | X |
| Loriann Burke | XO | X |
| Mark Lancaster | ATT | X |
| Mary Conquest | Nuvox |  |
| Matt Kohly | Sockett Communication | X |
| Paul LaGattuta | NeuStar |  |
| Peggy Rubino | Paetec | X |
| Ron Steen | ATT | X |
| Shelly Pedersen | TWtelecom |  |
| Steve Addicks | NeuStar |  |
| Sue Tiffany | Sprint/Nextel | X |
| Tiki Gaugler | XO |  |
| Tonya Woods | Centurylink |  |
| Tracy Guidotti | ATT |  |
| Vicki Goth | Centurylink | X |

**Sub-team Objective:**

To determine if a recommendation for any changes to the current definition of a Simple Port will be included in the LNPA WG’s work package to be forwarded to the NANC

**Discussion**

* Agreed to discussion – A Simple Port “Does not involve an Unbundled Network Element”.

Recommended Clarifying Statement distributed for consensus:

***“The* LNPA-WG’s understanding of current industry practices regarding UNE involvement in porting a Simple Port is that the UNE’s of; Dedicated Transport, 911/E911 and Operational Support Systems are a not factor in determining or executing a Simple Port”**

* This was an agreed upon statement to forward to the NANC recommendations.

**OPEN ITEMS**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **ISSUE NUMBER** | **ISSUE** | **STATUS** |
| SP001 | Simple Port is the “port only” | Open |
| SP002 | Any changes to the original 07-188 order should be managed through the FNPRM. Further definition of a “simple Port” by this sub-committee does not preclude any FNPRM positions. | Open |
| SP003 | Parity issue in return of a loop | Open |
| SP004 | Technical/Operational Issues to returning a loop | Open |

**Open Action: Involve an Account only for a single line.** What are the technical restrictions on porting an entire account? Participants are requested to be prepared to discuss the second non-simple port qualifier.

Gary’s proposed language for today’s meeting.

CURRENT FCC DEFINITION FOR SIMPLE PORTS:

(3) do not include complex switch translations (*e.g.*, Centrex, ISDN, AIN services, remote call forwarding, or multiple services on the loop);

PROPOSED CLARIFICATION TEXT FOR DISCUSSION:

The mere existence of these services cited as examples (does not, or any other service associated with the porting customer's account, does not necessarily constitute complex swiitch translations or classify the port as Non-Simple.  If the other criteria defining a Simple Port apply as simple, the porting of the customer with any of these services could be classified as Simple.  Note that the porting of one or a portion of a single telephone number from or any portion of a multi-telephone number account or group is Non-Simple.

Any porting of a single number(s) from a multi – telephone number or numbers group is non-simple.

**Agenda: 7/24/09**

* Gain consensus on the Complex Switch Translations

**Next Meetings: July 24 : 1:00PM Eastern**

  **Noon Central**

 **11:00AM Mountain**

 **10:00AM Pacific**

**Duration: 90 minutes**

**Bridge Number: 1-866-427-3611 (P 2738729747)**